DTP99/17 UKC99/20

Spherically Symmetric Solutions of the $SU(N)$ Skyrme Models

T. Ioannidou*,
Institute of Mathematics, University of Kent at Canterbury,
Canterbury CT2 7NF, UK

B. Piette† and W. J. Zakrzewski‡,
Department of Mathematical Sciences,University of Durham,
Durham DH1 3LE, UK

arXiv:hep-th/9904160v1 23 Apr 1999

Abstract

Recently we have presented in [1] an ansatz which allows us to construct skyrmion fields from the harmonic maps of S^2 to CP^{N-1}. In this paper we examine this construction in detail and use it to construct, in an explicit form, new static spherically symmetric solutions of the $SU(N)$ Skyrme models. We also discuss some properties of these solutions.

1 Introduction

The Skyrme model presents an opportunity to understand nuclear physics as a low energy limit of quantum chromodynamics (QCD). The model was initially proposed as a theory of strong interactions of hadrons [2], but recently, it was shown to be the low energy limit of QCD in the large N_c limit [3]. Since then further work has suggested that topologically nontrivial solutions of this model, known as skyrmions, can be identified with classical ground states of light nuclei. However, a thorough understanding of the structure and dynamics of multi-skyrmion configurations is required before a more qualitative assessment of the validity of this application of the model can be made.

The $SU(N)$ Skyrme model involves fields which take values in $SU(N)$; *ie* are described by $SU(N)$ valued functions of $\vec{x}$ and t. Its static solutions correspond to field configurations describing multi-skyrmions. In this paper new solutions have been obtained for fields whose energy density is spherically symmetric.

Multi-skyrmions are stationary points (maxima or saddle points) of the static energy functional, which is given in topological charge units by

$$E = \frac{1}{12\pi^2}\int_{R^3}\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\partial_i U\, U^{-1}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{16}\mathrm{tr}\left[\partial_i U\, U^{-1},\ \partial_j U\, U^{-1}\right]^2\right\} d^3\vec{x}, \qquad (1)$$

where $U(\vec{x}) \in SU(N)$.

*e-mail address: T.Ioannidou@ukc.ac.uk
†e-mail address: B.M.A.G.Piette@durham.ac.uk
‡e-mail address:W.J.Zakrzewski@durham.ac.uk

In this case multi-skyrmions are solutions of the equation

$$\partial_i \left(\partial_i U\, U^{-1} - \frac{1}{4} \left[\partial_j U\, U^{-1}, [\partial_j U\, U^{-1}, \partial_i U\, U^{-1}] \right] \right) = 0. \tag{2}$$

We have, for simplicity, set the mass terms to zero. This has been done for convenience, since the conventional mass terms introduce only small changes and, as we will see later, affect only the profile functions. Therefore, all our discussion can be easily generalised to include such mass terms.

Finiteness of the energy functional requires that $U(\vec{x})$ approaches a constant matrix at spatial infinity, which can be chosen to be the identity matrix by a global $SU(N)$ transformation. So, without any loss of generality, we can impose the following boundary condition on U: $U \to I$ as $|\vec{x}| \to \infty$.

Since $U \to I$ as $|\vec{x}| \to \infty$ is a mapping from $S^3 \to SU(N)$, it can be classified by the third homotopy group $\pi_3(SU(N)) \equiv Z$ or, equivalently, by the integer valued winding number

$$B = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_{R^3} \varepsilon_{ijk}\, \mathrm{tr} \left(\partial_i U\, U^{-1} \partial_j U\, U^{-1} \partial_k U\, U^{-1} \right) d^3\vec{x}, \tag{3}$$

which is a topological invariant. This winding number classifies the solitonic sectors in the model, and as Skyrme has argued [2], $B(U)$ may be identified with the baryon number of the field configuration.

Up to now most of the studies involving the Skyrme model have concentrated on the $SU(2)$ version of the model and its embeddings into $SU(N)$. The simplest nontrivial classical *solution* involves a single skyrmion ($B = 1$) and has already been discussed by Skyrme [2]. The energy density of this solution is radially symmetric and, as a result, using the so-called hedgehog ansatz one can reduce (2) to an ordinary differential equation, which then has to be solved numerically. Many solutions with $B > 1$ of the $SU(2)$ model have also been computed numerically and, in all cases, the solutions are very symmetrical (cf. Battye et al. [4] and references therein). However, since the model is not integrable, with few exceptions, explicit solutions (even) for spherical symmetric $SU(N)$ skyrmions are not known.

The first example of a *non-embedded* solution for a higher group was the $SO(3)$ soliton, corresponding to a bound system of two skyrmions, which was found by Balachandran et al. [6]. Another solution, with a large $SU(3)$ strangeness content, was found by Kopeliovich et al. [7]. However, all other known multi-skyrmion configurations seem to be the embeddings of the solutions of the $SU(2)$ model.

Recently, we have showed in [1] how to construct low energy states of the $SU(N)$ model by using CP^{N-1} harmonic maps. Our discussion involved only one projector. In this paper, we extend our method to more projectors. We show that, for the $SU(N)$ model, when we take $N-1$ projectors which lead to spherically symmetric energy densities, the full equations of the model separate and the problem of finding exact solutions is reduced to having to solve $N-1$ coupled nonlinear ODE's for $N-1$ profile functions. This way we obtain a whole family of new spherical symmetric multi-baryon solutions of the $SU(N)$ models. Our solutions include the $SU(3)$ dibaryon configuration of Balachandran et al. [6] and the non-topological $SU(3)$ four baryon configuration of [1].

2 Harmonic Maps

In [1] we generalised the $SU(2)$ ansatz of Houghton et al. [5] to $SU(N)$. This generalisation involved re-writing the expression of Houghton et al. as a projector from S^2 to CP^{N-1}. It gave us a new way of interpreting old results and of deriving expressions for the low energy $SU(N)$ field configurations which are *not* simple embeddings of $SU(2)$ fields. In particular, the energy distributions exhibit very different symmetries from those of the embeddings. The method also gave us a new solution of the $SU(3)$ model, which lies in the topologically trivial sector of the model (*ie* it has zero baryon number) and so, obviously, is not stable.

The method of [1] can be generalised further, to involve more projectors. In fact, we can exploit here some ideas taken from the theory of harmonic maps of $S^2 \to CP^{N-1}$ [8, 9]; since they play an important role in our construction.

Recall (cf. [9]) that in N-dimensional space there is a "natural" set of projectors: $S^2 \to CP^{N-1}$ maps, which are constructed as follows:

Write each projector P as

$$P(V) = \frac{V \otimes V^\dagger}{|V|^2}, \tag{4}$$

where V is a N-component complex vector of two variables ξ and $\bar{\xi}$ which locally parametrise S^2. In terms of the more familiar θ and φ, they are given by $\xi = \tan(\theta/2)\, e^{i\varphi}$. The first projector is obtained by taking $V = f(\xi)$, *ie* an analytic vector of ξ; while the other projectors are obtained from the original V by differentiation and Gramm-Schmidt orthogonalisation. If we define an operator P_+ by its action on any vector $v \in \mathrm{C}^N$ [9] as

$$P_+ v = \partial_\xi v - v\, \frac{v^\dagger\, \partial_\xi v}{|v|^2}, \tag{5}$$

then the further vectors $P_+^k v$ can be defined by induction: $P_+^k v = P_+(P_+^{k-1} v)$.

Therefore, in general, we can consider projectors P_k of the form (4) corresponding to the family of vectors $V \equiv V_k = P_+^k f$ (for $f = f(\xi)$) as

$$P_k = P(P_+^k f), \qquad k = 0, \ldots, N-1, \tag{6}$$

where, due to the orthogonality of the projectors, we have $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} P_k = 1$.

The orthogonality properties of our projectors follow from the following properties of vectors $P_+^k f$ which hold when f is holomorphic:

$$(P_+^k f)^\dagger\, P_+^l f = 0, \qquad k \neq l, \tag{7}$$

$$\partial_{\bar{\xi}} \left(P_+^k f \right) = -P_+^{k-1} f \frac{|P_+^k f|^2}{|P_+^{k-1} f|^2}, \qquad \partial_\xi \left(\frac{P_+^{k-1} f}{|P_+^{k-1} f|^2} \right) = \frac{P_+^k f}{|P_+^{k-1} f|^2}. \tag{8}$$

Note that, for $SU(N)$, the last projector P_{N-1} in the sequence corresponds to an anti-analytic vector; (*ie* the components of $V_{N-1} = P_+^{N-1} f$, up to an irrelevant overall factor which cancels in the projector, are functions of only $\bar{\xi}$).

Our new $SU(N)$ generalisation of [1] involves the introduction of $N-1$ projectors, *ie*

$$U \;=\; \exp\{ig_0(P_0 - \frac{I}{N}) + ig_1(P_1 - \frac{I}{N}) - \ldots + ig_{N-2}(P_{N-2} - \frac{I}{N})\}$$

$$= \; e^{-ig_0/N}(I + A_0P_0)\; e^{-ig_1/N}(I + A_1P_1)\; \ldots\; e^{-ig_{N-2}/N}(I + A_{N-1}P_{N-2}), \qquad (9)$$

where $g_k = g_k(r)$, for $k = 0, \ldots, N-2$, are the profile functions and $A_k = e^{ig_k} - 1$. Note that the projector P_{N-1} is not included in the above formula since it is the linear combination of the others. [Our previous ansatz given in [1] corresponds to putting all the profile functions, but the first one, equal to zero.]

The spherically symmetric maps into CP^{N-1} are given by

$$f = (f_0,\, f_1, \ldots,\, f_{N-1})^t, \quad \text{where} \quad f_k = \xi^k \sqrt{C_{k+1}^{N-1}}, \qquad (10)$$

where C_{k+1}^{N-1} denote the binomial coefficients. Furthermore, as we prove in the appendix, the modulus of the corresponding vector $P_+^k f$ for f of the above form is

$$|P_+^k f|^2 = \alpha(1 + |\xi|^2)^{N-2k-1}, \qquad (11)$$

where α depends on N and k.

3 Constructing the Skyrmion Solutions

In this section we construct a family of exact spherical symmetric solutions of the $SU(N)$ Skyrme models. In fact, we show that for each $SU(N)$ model the Skyrme field involving $N-1$ projectors leads to an exact solution involving $N-1$ profile functions.

3.1 Skyrme Equations

The Skyrme equations (2), when re-written in spherical coordinates, take the form:

$$\partial_r\Big[r^2 R_r + \frac{1}{4}\Big(A_{\theta r\theta} + \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta}A_{\varphi r\varphi}\Big)\Big] + \frac{1}{\sin\theta}\partial_\theta\Big[\sin\theta\Big\{R_\theta + \frac{1}{4}\Big(A_{r\theta r} + \frac{1}{r^2\sin^2\theta}A_{\varphi\theta\varphi}\Big)\Big\}\Big]$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta}\partial_\varphi\Big[R_\varphi + \frac{1}{4}\Big(A_{r\varphi r} + \frac{1}{r^2}A_{\theta\varphi\theta}\Big)\Big] = 0, \qquad (12)$$

where $R_i = U^{-1}U_i$ and $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \equiv [R_\alpha,\, [R_\beta,\, R_\gamma]]$.

It is easy to see, using (9), that

$$R_r = i\sum_{j=0}^{N-2}\dot{g}_j\Big(P_j - \frac{I}{N}\Big), \qquad (13)$$

where $\dot{g}_j(r)$ denotes the derivative of $g_j(r)$ with respect to its argument; and that, in terms of the holomorphic variables ξ and $\bar{\xi}$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_\xi &= e^{(-i\sum_{k=0}^{N-2} g_k P_k)}\,\partial_\xi\Big[e^{(i\sum_{i=0}^{N-2} g_i P_i)}\Big] \\ &= \Big[1 + \sum_{k=0}^{N-2}(e^{-ig_k} - 1)P_k\Big]\Big[\sum_{l=0}^{N-2}(e^{ig_l} - 1)P_{l\xi}\Big] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\Big[e^{i(g_i - g_{i-1})} - 1\Big]\frac{V_i\, V_{i-1}^\dagger}{|V_{i-1}|^2}, \end{aligned} \qquad (14)$$

where the last line follows from the identity $e^{(-i\sum_{k=0}^{N-2} g_k P_k)} = 1+\sum_{k=0}^{N-2}(e^{-ig_k}-1)P_k$. Here, $g_{N-1}=0$ and $R_{\bar\xi} = -(R_\xi)^\dagger$.

Next we note that

$$\partial_\theta = \frac{1+|\xi|^2}{2\sqrt{|\xi|^2}}\left(\xi\,\partial_\xi + \bar\xi\,\partial_{\bar\xi}\right), \qquad \partial_\varphi = i\left(\xi\,\partial_\xi - \bar\xi\,\partial_{\bar\xi}\right), \tag{15}$$

and re-write all the terms in (12) in terms of R_ξ, $R_{\bar\xi}$ and R_r and their commutators, *ie*

$$\partial_\theta\left(\sin\theta\, R_\theta\right) + \frac{1}{\sin\theta}\partial_\phi R_\phi = (1+|\xi|^2)\sqrt{|\xi|^2}\left((R_\xi)_{\bar\xi} + (R_{\bar\xi})_\xi\right), \tag{16}$$

$$A_{\theta r\theta} + \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta}A_{\varphi r\varphi} = \frac{(1+|\xi|^2)^2}{2}\left\{[R_{\bar\xi},[R_r,R_\xi]] + [R_\xi,[R_r,R_{\bar\xi}]]\right\}, \tag{17}$$

$$\sin\theta\,\partial_\theta\left(\sin\theta\, A_{r\theta r}\right) + \partial_\phi(A_{r\varphi r}) = 2|\xi|^2\left([R_r,[R_\xi,R_r]]_{\bar\xi} + [R_r,[R_{\bar\xi},R_r]]_\xi\right), \tag{18}$$

$$\partial_\theta\Big(\frac{A_{\varphi\theta\varphi}}{\sin\theta}\Big) + \frac{1}{\sin\theta}\partial_\phi(A_{\theta\varphi\theta}) = \frac{(1+|\xi|^2)\sqrt{|\xi|^2}}{2}\Big[\partial_{\bar\xi}\left((1+|\xi|^2)^2[R_\xi,[R_\xi,R_{\bar\xi}]]\right) - \partial_\xi\Big((1+|\xi|^2)^2[R_{\bar\xi},[R_\xi,R_{\bar\xi}]]\Big)\Big]. \tag{19}$$

Thus equation (12), when re-written in the holomorphic variables, becomes

$$\partial_r\left[r^2 R_r + \frac{(1+|\xi|^2)^2}{8}\left([R_{\bar\xi},[R_r,R_\xi]] + [R_\xi,[R_r,R_{\bar\xi}]]\right)\right] + \frac{(1+|\xi|^2)^2}{2}\left((R_{\bar\xi})_\xi + (R_\xi)_{\bar\xi}\right) +$$
$$\frac{(1+|\xi|^2)^3}{8r^2}\left(\xi\,[R_\xi,[R_\xi,R_{\bar\xi}]] - \bar\xi\,[R_{\bar\xi},[R_\xi,R_{\bar\xi}]]\right) + \frac{(1+|\xi|^2)^4}{16r^2}\left([R_\xi,[R_\xi,R_{\bar\xi}]]_{\bar\xi} - [R_{\bar\xi},[R_\xi,R_{\bar\xi}]]_\xi\right)$$
$$+\frac{(1+|\xi|^2)^2}{8}\left([R_r,[R_{\bar\xi},R_r]]_\xi + [R_r,[R_\xi,R_r]]_{\bar\xi}\right) = 0. \tag{20}$$

Using (9) we observe that

$$[R_\xi,\, R_{\bar\xi}] = 2\,P_0\frac{|V_1|^2}{|V_0|^2}\left(1-\cos(g_1-g_0)\right) - 2\,P_{N-1}\frac{|V_{N-1}|^2}{|V_{N-2}|^2}\left(1-\cos(g_{N-2})\right) +$$
$$2\sum_{i=1}^{N-2} P_i\left[\frac{|V_{i+1}|^2}{|V_i|^2}\left(1-\cos(g_{i+1}-g_i)\right) - \frac{|V_i|^2}{|V_{i-1}|^2}\left(1-\cos(g_i-g_{i-1})\right)\right], \tag{21}$$

$$[R_\xi,[R_\xi,\, R_{\bar\xi}]] = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\left(\mu_i\frac{|V_{i+2}|^2}{|V_{i+1}|^2} + \nu_i\frac{|V_{i+1}|^2}{|V_i|^2} + \rho_i\frac{|V_i|^2}{|V_{i-1}|^2}\right)\frac{V_i V_{i-1}^\dagger}{|V_{i-1}|^2}, \tag{22}$$

$$[R_r,[R_{\bar\xi},R_r]] = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} s_i\,\frac{V_{i-1}V_i^\dagger}{|V_{i-1}|^2}, \tag{23}$$

where μ, ν and ρ are functions of $g_k(r)$, only; while s_i are functions of $g_k(r)$ and their derivatives.

Since $V_k = P_+^k f$, one can show that $\frac{|V_i|^2}{|V_{i-1}|^2} \propto (1+|\xi|^2)^{-2}$; while $\partial_\xi\,(1+|\xi|^2)^{-2} = -2\bar\xi(1+|\xi|^2)^{-3}$ and thus, the derivative terms involving $[R_\xi,[R_\xi,R_{\bar\xi}]]$ in (20) cancel leaving us with derivatives of $\frac{V_i V_{i-1}^\dagger}{|V_{i-1}|^2}$ – which are proportional to $\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}(1+|\xi|^2)^{-2}(P_i - P_{i-1})\,h_i$, where h_i involve functions of $g_k(r)$ (due to (8)), multiplied by terms of the form $\frac{|V_i|^2}{|V_{i-1}|^2}$.

So the factors $(1+|\xi|^2)^{-4}$ in (20) cancel – leaving us with a sum of differences of two successive projectors multiplied by functions dependent only on r.

Following the above argument and using the properties of R_r, *etc* one can show that the terms $[R_r,[R_\xi,R_r]]_{\bar\xi}$ in (20), are proportional to $\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} S_i\,(1+|\xi|^2)^{-2}(P_i-P_{i-1})$, where S_i are functions of $g_k(r)$ and their derivatives – leaving us, once again, with a sum of differences of two successive projectors multiplied by functions dependent only on r.

Finally, the contribution of the terms $(1+|\xi|^2)\,R_{\xi\bar\xi}$ is given by $\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}(P_i-P_{i-1})H_i$, where H_i are only functions of g_k; while the commutators in (17) are equal to a sum of projectors mutlipied by $(1+|\xi|^2)^{-2}$, which cancel out in (20). In addition, $\partial_r(r^2R_r)=i\sum_{i=0}^{N-2}\left(P_i-\frac{I}{N}\right)(2r\dot g_i+r^2\ddot g_i)$.

We note that, for our choice of the vectors V_k, all the dependence on ξ and $\bar\xi$ in (20) resides only in the projectors (the rest of it cancels out). The terms involving $\partial_r(r^2R_r)$ give us expressions involving $\frac{1}{N}-P_i$ while all the other terms give us expressions involving P_i-P_{i-1}. Although N projectors arise in (20), the projector P_{N-1} can be re-expressed in terms of the previous ones – giving $N-1$ factors involving the harmonic maps $P_i-\frac{1}{N}$ (for $i=0,...N-2$). To satisfy (20) the coefficients of such factors have to vanish leaving us with $N-1$ equations for the $N-1$ profile functions g_i. Hence, if these equations have solutions then they correspond to exact solutions of the $SU(N)$ Skyrme models. Notice that (10) implies that these solutions have a covariant axial symmetry, *ie* any rotation by an angle α around the z-axis is equivalent to the gauge transformation $U\to A^\dagger UA$ where $A=\mathrm{diag}(1,e^{i\alpha},e^{2i\alpha},..e^{(N-1)i\alpha})$. On the other hand, as will be shown below, the energy density for these solution is radially symmetric.

The $N-1$ equations for the profile functions can be obtained either from (20) – which is a hard task; or from the variation of the energy (1) – using (9) and integrating out ξ and $\bar\xi$ variables. Clearly, the two methods give the same equations.

Let us stress that our procedure hinges on having $N-1$ profile functions and on the very special form of our vectors V_k. Had we taken other vectors V_k, we would have got some ξ and $\bar\xi$ dependence outside the projectors; while had we taken less than $N-1$ profile functions and projectors we would have got too many equations for our functions. It is only in the case of $N-1$ projectors that we get the right number of equations.

3.2 Energy Dependence on Profile Functions

The energy (1), when written in the holomorphic variables, becomes

$$E=-\frac{i}{12\pi^2}\int r^2dr\,d\xi d\bar\xi\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\frac{1}{(1+|\xi|^2)^2}R_r^2+\frac{1}{r^2}|R_\xi|^2+\frac{1}{4r^2}[R_r,R_\xi][R_r,R_{\bar\xi}]-\frac{(1+|\xi|^2)^2}{16r^4}[R_{\bar\xi},R_\xi]^2\right). \tag{24}$$

Using (13) and (14) we find that

$$\mathrm{tr}\,R_r^2=\frac{1}{N}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-2}\dot g_i\right)^2-\sum_{i=0}^{N-2}\dot g_i^2, \tag{25}$$

$$\mathrm{tr}|R_\xi|^2=-2\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}B_i, \tag{26}$$

$$\mathrm{tr}[R_r, R_\xi][R_r, R_{\bar\xi}] = -2\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} B_k(\dot g_k - \dot g_{k-1})^2, \tag{27}$$

$$\mathrm{tr}[R_{\bar\xi}, R_\xi]^2 = 4\left(B_1^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-2}(B_i - B_{i+1})^2 + B_{N-1}^2\right), \tag{28}$$

where $B_i = \frac{|V_i|^2}{|V_{i-1}|^2}(1-\cos(g_i - g_{i-1}))$ and $[R_{\bar\xi}, R_\xi] = 2\sum_{l=1}^{N-1}(P_{l-1} - P_l)B_l$.

Since $\frac{|V_k|^2}{|V_{k-1}|^2} = k(N-k)(1+|\xi|^2)^{-2}$ (see appendix) all terms in (24) have a factor $(1+|\xi|^2)^{-2}$ and the integration over ξ and $\bar\xi$ is a topological constant, *ie* $i\int d\xi d\bar\xi(1+|\xi|^2)^{-2} = 2\pi$. Thus we get

$$E = \frac{1}{6\pi}\int r^2 dr\{-\frac{1}{N}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-2}\dot g_i\right)^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{N-2}\dot g_i^2 + \frac{1}{2r^2}\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}(\dot g_k - \dot g_{k-1})^2 D_k + \frac{2}{r^2}\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} D_k$$
$$+\frac{1}{4r^4}\left(D_1^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{N-2}(D_k - D_{k+1})^2 + D_{N-1}^2\right)\}, \tag{29}$$

where $D_k = k(N-k)(1-\cos(g_k - g_{k-1}))$.

Let us, for simplicity, take $F_k = g_k - g_{k+1}$, $(k = 0, ..N-2)$ with $F_{N-2} = g_{N-2}$. Then, the variation of the integrand of the energy $\tilde E$ with respect to the functions F_l (for $l = 0, ..N-2$) is

$$\frac{\partial\tilde E}{\partial\dot F_l} = \left[-\frac{2(l+1)}{N}\sum_{i=0}^{N-2}(i+1)\dot F_i + 2\sum_{k=0}^{l}\left(\sum_{i=k}^{N-2}\dot F_i\right) + \frac{1}{r^2}\dot F_l D_{l+1}\right] r^2, \tag{30}$$

where $D_k = k(N-k)\,(1-\cos F_{k-1})$.

Therefore, the equations of motion for the functions F_i, and thus for the profile functions, are

$$-\frac{2(l+1)}{N}\sum_{i=0}^{N-2}(i+1)\ddot F_i + 2\sum_{k=0}^{l}\sum_{i=k}^{N-2}\ddot F_i + \frac{1}{r^2}\ddot F_l(l+1)(N-l-1)(1-\cos F_l) +$$
$$\frac{1}{2r^2}\dot F_l^2(l+1)(N-l-1)\sin F_l + \frac{2}{r}\left(-\frac{2(l+1)}{N}\sum_{i=0}^{N-2}(i+1)\dot F_i + 2\sum_{k=0}^{l}\left(\sum_{i=k}^{N-2}\dot F_i\right)\right)$$
$$-\frac{2}{r^2}(l+1)(N-l-1)\,\sin F_l - \frac{1}{r^4}(l+1)^2(N-l-1)^2\,(1-\cos F_l)\sin F_l$$
$$+\frac{1}{2r^4}(l+1)(N-l-1)\sin F_l\,[l(N-l)(1-\cos F_{l-1}) + (l+2)(N-l-2)(1-\cos F_{l+1})] = 0. \tag{31}$$

These equations can be solved numerically by imposing the appropriate boundary conditions on the profile functions. To do this we have to specialise to a particular model, *ie* for specific N and diagonalise the terms involving the second derivatives. The simplest cases: $N = 2$, $N = 3$ and $N = 4$ involve 1, 2 or 3 functions and will be discussed in the next sections.

4 Topological Properties and Symmetries

Before we discuss special cases, let us first investigate the general topological properties of our fields.

The topological charge (3), which in many applications of the Skyrme model is identified with the baryon number, is given by

$$B = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int dr\, d\xi d\bar{\xi}\, \mathrm{tr}\, \left(R_r \left[R_{\bar{\xi}}, R_\xi \right] \right), \tag{32}$$

when written in the complex coordinates.

Due to (21) and (13) the terms involving $\dot{g}_i/N$ in R_r after taking the trace cancel and the expression for the baryon number simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} B &= -\frac{i}{4\pi^2} \int dr\, d\xi d\bar{\xi} \sum_{i=0}^{N-2} \dot{F}_i \,(1-\cos F_i) \frac{|V_{i+1}|^2}{|V_i|^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dr \sum_{i=0}^{N-2} \dot{F}_i \,(1-\cos F_i)(i+1)(N-i-1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=0}^{N-2} (i+1)(N-i-1)\ \left(F_i - \sin F_i\right)_{r=0}^{r=\infty}. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

As $g_i(\infty) = 0$ (required for the finiteness of the energy) the only contributions to the topological charge come from $F_i(0)$.

The $N-1$ equations for the profile functions and their differences given in (31) have many symmetries. These symmetries can be used to derive special skyrmion solutions which involve a smaller number of profile functions and projectors.

The main symmetry of our expressions, are the independent interchanges

$$F_k \;\leftrightarrow\; F_{N-k-2}, \qquad \text{for} \quad k = 0, \cdots, N-2. \tag{34}$$

This symmetry follows from the fact the terms $D_k = k(N-k)(1-\cos F_{k-1})$ which appear in the energy are symmetric under the interchange: $D_k \leftrightarrow D_{N-k}$ when $F_{k-1} \leftrightarrow F_{N-k-1}$. In addition, all the other terms in the energy also exhibit this symmetry since they are combinations of F_i and their derivatives.

5 Spherical Skyrmions

The simplest case corresponds to the $SU(2)$ spherically symmetric skyrmion. This is the solution which was found thirty years ago by Skyrme and is usually presented in terms of the well-known hedgehog ansatz.

5.1 $SU(3)$ Skyrme Model

In this case $N = 3$ and we have two functions: F_0 and F_1. The energy density $\mathcal{E}$, such that $E = (6\pi)^{-1} \int \mathcal{E} r^2 dr$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} = &\frac{2}{3}(\dot{F}_0^2 + \dot{F}_1^2 + \dot{F}_0\dot{F}_1) + \frac{1}{r^2}\left[(\dot{F}_0^2+4)(1-\cos F_0) + (\dot{F}_1^2+4)(1-\cos F_1)\right] + \\ &\frac{2}{r^4}\left[(1-\cos F_0)^2 - (1-\cos F_0)\,(1-\cos F_1) + (1-\cos F_1)^2\right], \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

and the equations for the profile functions are

$$\ddot{F}_0\left(1+\frac{3}{2r^2}(1-\cos F_0)\right)+\frac{\ddot{F}_1}{2}+\frac{2\dot{F}_0+\dot{F}_1}{r}+\frac{3\sin F_0}{4r^2}\left[\dot{F}_0^2-4-\frac{4(1-\cos F_0)}{r^2}+\frac{2(1-\cos F_1)}{r^2}\right]=0,$$
$$\ddot{F}_1\left(1+\frac{3}{2r^2}(1-\cos F_1)\right)+\frac{\ddot{F}_0}{2}+\frac{2\dot{F}_1+\dot{F}_0}{r}+\frac{3\sin F_1}{4r^2}\left[\dot{F}_1^2-4-\frac{4(1-\cos F_1)}{r^2}+\frac{2(1-\cos F_0)}{r^2}\right]=0. \tag{36}$$

These equations can be solved numerically when the right boundary conditions have been imposed.

However, by letting $F_0 = F_1 = F$ (*ie* using the symmetry) they reduce to

$$\ddot{F}\left(1+\frac{1-\cos F}{r^2}\right)+\frac{2\dot{F}}{r}+\frac{\sin F}{2r^2}\left[\dot{F}^2-4-\frac{2(1-\cos F)}{r^2}\right]=0. \tag{37}$$

This equation coincides with the equation for the profile function of a single $SU(2)$ skyrmion. Here we note that as $F_0(0) = F_1(0) = 2\pi$ the topological charge of our solution is four. Thus the energy of this configuration, which corresponds to four skyrmions is $E_{B=4} = 4 \times 1.232$, *ie* is exactly four times the energy of one skyrmion. We see that we have a static solution corresponding to four non-interacting skyrmions, placed on top of each other in such a way that their energy density is spherically symmetric.

In addition, there is a further symmetry which allows us to set $F_0 = -F_1 = G$. In this case the equations reduce to

$$\ddot{G}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2r^2}(1-\cos G)\right)+\frac{\dot{G}}{r}+\frac{3\sin G}{4r^2}\left[\dot{G}^2-4-\frac{2(1-\cos G)}{r^2}\right]=0. \tag{38}$$

Let us note that, since $F_0 = g_0 - g_1$ and $F_1 = g_1$, this case corresponds to $g_0 = 0$ and thus, the field (9) involves only one projector, namely P_1. This solution is the topologically trivial solution discussed in [1] and its energy is 3.861.

Finally, Balachandran et al. skyrmion solution can be obtained from (36) by imposing the following boundary conditions: $g_0(0) = 2\pi$, $g_1(0) = 0$ and $g_0(\infty) = 0$, $g_1(\infty) = 0$; its energy is $E_{B=2} = 2.3764$.

5.2 $SU(4)$ Skyrme Model

In this case the energy density becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E} = &\frac{1}{4}\left(3\dot{F}_0^2+4\dot{F}_1^2+3\dot{F}_2^2+4\dot{F}_0\dot{F}_1+4\dot{F}_1\dot{F}_2+2\dot{F}_0\dot{F}_2\right)+\\ &\frac{1}{2r^2}\left[3(\dot{F}_0^2+4)(1-\cos F_0)+4(\dot{F}_1^2+4)(1-\cos F_1)+3(\dot{F}_2^2+4)(1-\cos F_2)\right]\\ &+\frac{1}{2r^4}\left\{9\,(1-\cos F_0)^2+16\,(1-\cos F_1)^2+9\,(1-\cos F_2)^2\right.\\ &\left.-12(1-\cos F_0)(1-\cos F_1)-12(1-\cos F_1)(1-\cos F_2)\right\},\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

while the equations for F_0, F_1 and F_2 are more complicated:

$$\ddot{F}_0\left(1+\frac{2(1-\cos F_0)}{r^2}\right)+\frac{2\ddot{F}_1+\ddot{F}_2}{3}+\frac{3\dot{F}_0+4\dot{F}_1+2\dot{F}_2}{3r}+\frac{\sin F_0}{r^2}\left[\dot{F}_0^2-4-\frac{6(1-\cos F_0)}{r^2}+\frac{4(1-\cos F_1)}{r^2}\right]=0,$$

$$\ddot{F}_1\left(1+\frac{2(1-\cos F_1)}{r^2}\right)+\frac{\ddot{F}_0+\ddot{F}_2}{2}+\frac{2\dot{F}_1+\dot{F}_0+\dot{F}_2}{r}+\frac{\sin F_1}{r^2}\left[\dot{F}_1^2-4-\frac{8(1-\cos F_1)}{r^2}+\frac{3(1-\cos F_0)}{r^2}+\frac{3(1-\cos F_2)}{r^2}\right]=0,$$
$$\ddot{F}_2\left(1+\frac{2(1-\cos F_2)}{r^2}\right)+\frac{\ddot{F}_0+2\ddot{F}_1}{3}+\frac{6\dot{F}_2+2\dot{F}_0+4\dot{F}_1}{3r}+\frac{\sin F_2}{r^2}\left[\dot{F}_2^2-4-\frac{6(1-\cos F_2)}{r^2}+\frac{4(1-\cos F_1)}{r^2}\right]=0. \tag{40}$$

These equations have the previously mentioned symmetry $F_k \leftrightarrow F_{N-k-2}$ which allows us to set $F_0 = F_2$ by keeping F_1 arbitrary.

In addition, letting $F_0 = F_1 = F_2 = F$ the above system reduces to equation (37) and therefore, the configuration which consists of ten skyrmions (as $B = \frac{3F_0(0)+4F_1(0)+3F_2(0)}{2\pi} = 10$) is $E_{B=10} = 10 \times 1.232$, *ie* is exactly ten times the energy of one skyrmion. Once again we have a solution describing many skyrmions, which are non-interacting and whose energy density is spherically symmetric.

In addition, letting $F_0 = -F_2 = G$ we spot that when $F_1 = 0$, we have a solution of the form

$$\ddot{G}\left(1+\frac{3(1-\cos G)}{r^2}\right)+\frac{2\dot{G}}{r}+\frac{3\sin G}{2r^2}\left[\dot{G}^2-4-\frac{6(1-\cos G)}{r^2}\right]=0, \tag{41}$$

which corresponds to a non-topological solution, *ie* its baryon number is zero; however the corresponding configuration consists of three skyrmions and three anti-skyrmions. [Recall, that the profile functions are $g_0 = 0$ and $g_1 = g_2$, *ie* the field (9) involves only one projector of rank two – namely $P_1 + P_2$.]

In general, however, the solutions depend on more functions. We can always assume that the functions F_i go to zero at infinity, so the topological charge of a solution is determined, using (33), by the boundary value of each F_i at the origin. When each of these values is positive the solution is a mixture of skyrmions. When some F_i's take positive and some F_i's take negative values at the origin the solution corresponds to a mixture of skyrmions and anti-skyrmions. This is very similar to what happens in the two-dimensional Grasmannian sigma model [8].

We have solved numerically equations (40) taking all combinations, modulo the exchange of F_0 and F_2, of 0, 2π and -2π for the value of F_i at the origin. The results are summarised in the Table below.

$F_0(0)$	$F_1(0)$	$F_2(0)$	B	Energy	E/baryon	SU(2) En
2π	0	0	3	3.518	1.173	3.429
0	2π	0	4	4.788	1.197	4.464
2π	0	2π	6	7.22553	1.204	6.654
2π	2π	0	7	8.45219	1.207	7.7693
2π	2π	2π	10	12.32	1.232	-
2π	-2π	2π	6-4	8.852	0.8852	-
2π	2π	-2π	7-3	9.896	0.9896	-
2π	0	-2π	3-3	6.63422	1.106	-
-2π	2π	0	4-3	6.61478	0.945	-

The first five solutions are bound states of skyrmions with energies larger than the energies of the corresponding $SU(2)$ solutions [4]. Moreover, the excitation energy of the

first two solutions is very small. As mentioned above, the energy of the $B = 10$ solution is exactly ten times the energy of a single skyrmion solution. These solutions are all axially symmetric (but their energy densities are radially symmetric) and thus they are all more symmetrical than the corresponding $SU(2)$ solutions.

The last four solutions are bound states of skyrmions and anti-skyrmions. Although their energies are very small, we know that these solutions must be unstable.

6 Conclusions

In this paper we have shown how to construct radially symmetric solutions of the $SU(N)$ Skyrme models. In the general case these solutions depend on $N-1$ profile functions which have to be determined numerically. In some cases we can exploit symmetries of our expressions and reduce the number of functions. Thus in the case of $SU(3)$ we can recover the topologically trivial solution discussed in [1].

We have not discussed the derived solutions in much detail. Their properties and their relation to physics deserve further study and these topics are currently under investigation.

It is worth pointing out that there is a rather close connection between $SU(N)$ BPS monopoles and skyrmions. Both monopole and skyrmion fields can be constructed in terms of harmonic maps between Riemann spheres. Thus, recently, it has been shown [10] that the monopoles fields can also be constructed using the projector ansatz. Its generalisation to multi-projectors and the construction in [10] provide explicit examples of spherically symmetric $SU(N)$ monopoles with various symmetry breaking patterns. In the monopole case, the Bogomolny equation is the analogue of our Skyrme equation and the monopole number corresponds to our baryon number.

7 Acknowledgments

We thank C. Houghton, V. Kopeliovich and P. Sutcliffe for their interest.

Appendix

In this appendix we prove equation (11) for f given by (10). This result can be proved by induction. Note that, the modulus of the N-dimensional vector f is $|f|^2 = (1+|\xi|^2)^{N-1}$ and therefore, $|P_+ f|^2|f|^2 = |f|^2|\partial_+ f|^2 - |f^\dagger \partial_+ f|^2 = (N-1)(1+|\xi|^2)^{N-3}|f|^2$, therefore, $|P_+ f|^2 = (N-1)(1+|\xi|^2)^{N-3}$.

As

$$P_+^{k+1} f = \partial_\xi P_+^k f - P_+^k f \frac{(P_+^k f)^\dagger \, \partial_\xi P_+^k f}{|P_+^k f|^2}, \tag{A.1}$$

using (8) we get

$$|P_+^{k+1} f|^2 |P_+^k f|^2 \;=\; |\partial_\xi P_+^k f|^2 |P_+^k f|^2 - |\partial_\xi |P_+^k f|^2|^2, \tag{A.2}$$

$$\partial_\xi \partial_{\bar\xi} |P_+^k f|^2 = |\partial_\xi P_+^k f|^2 + (P_+^k f)^\dagger \, \partial_{\bar\xi}\partial_\xi P_+^k f, \tag{A.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(P_+^k f)^\dagger \, \partial_\xi \partial_{\bar\xi} (P_+^k f) &= -(P_+^k f)^\dagger \, \partial_\xi (P_+^{k-1} f) \, \frac{|P_+^k f|^2}{|P_+^{k-1} f|^2} \\ &= -\frac{|P_+^k f|^4}{|P_+^{k-1} f|^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

Which finally, leads to

$$|P_+^{k+1} f|^2 = \partial_\xi \partial_{\bar\xi} |P_+^k f|^2 + \frac{|P_+^k f|^4}{|P_+^{k-1} f|^2} - \frac{|\partial_\xi |P_+^k f|^2|^2}{|P_+^k f|^2}. \tag{A.5}$$

Therefore if $|P_+^k f|^2 = \alpha(1+|\xi|^2)^{N-2k-1}$ and $|P_+^{k-1} f|^2 = \beta(1+|\xi|^2)^{N-2k+1}$ then

$$|P_+^{k+1} f|^2 = \gamma \, (1+|\xi|^2)^{N-2k-3}, \tag{A.6}$$

where $\gamma = \alpha(N-2k-1) + \alpha^2/\beta$. To find γ we again use induction, recalling that the coefficients of the two lowest terms in the sequence are 1 and $N-1$, respectively. Then it is easily seen that

$$|P_+^k f|^2 = k!(N-1)(N-2)\cdots(N-k)(1+|\xi|^2)^{N-2k-1}, \tag{A.7}$$

in which the last term in the sequence corresponds to $k = N-1$.

References

[1] T. Ioannidou, B. Piette and W.J. Zakrzewski, *Low Energy States in the $SU(N)$ Skyrme Model*, hep-th 9811071 (1998).

[2] T.H.R. Skyrme, Nucl. Phys. **31**, 556 (1962).

[3] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **223**, 422 (1983).

[4] R.A. Battye and P.M Sutcliffe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 363 (1997).

[5] C.J. Houghton, N.S. Manton and P.M. Sutcliffe, Nucl. Phys. B **510**, 507 (1998).

[6] A. P. Balachandran, A. Barducci, F. Lizzi, V.G.J. Rodgers and A. Stern, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 887 (1984).

[7] V.B. Kopeliovich, B.E. Schwesinger and B.E. Stern, JETP Lett. **62**, 185-90 (1995).

[8] W.J. Zakrzewski, Low dimensional sigma models (IOP, 1989).

[9] A. Din and W.J. Zakrzewski, Nucl. Phys. **B 174**, 397 (1980).

[10] T. Ioannidou and P.M. Sutcliffe, *Monopoles and Harmonic Maps*, hep-th/9903183 (1999)